

Exhibit G

Witness	Deposition Testimony
Obi Felten 56:17-57:16	<p>Q. Well, why did you reach out to her?</p> <p>MR. BRUNS: I'm going to instruct her not to answer that on the same grounds.</p> <p>MR. HAYES: That's not -- I'm not asking what she said to her. I'm asking you: Why did you see fit to reach out to the IP counsel after you became aware of Bates' invention?</p> <p>MR. BRUNS: I -- I'm going to instruct her not to answer. She -- you are asking her to divulge why she reached out to counsel. That -- that's privileged.</p> <p>MR. HAYES: You can answer the question.</p> <p>MR. BRUNS: No. Again, I'm instructing the witness not to answer the question.</p> <p>BY MR. HAYES:</p> <p>Q. Do you know, ma'am, why you reached out to Ms. Tornabene?</p> <p>MR. BRUNS: Paul, I -- I have made this objection three or four times now. It's -- it's not a matter of whether she knows why she did it. It's -- the choice to do it or not is privileged, and her decision to do that is privileged.</p> <p>MR. HAYES: Go ahead.</p> <p>MR. BRUNS: Same objection. Same instruction -- instruction.</p>
Obi Felten 70:10-71:5	<p>Q. And one of the friendly lawyers you added are -- or the two friendly lawyers you added was Jenn Wall, corporate counsel, and Catherine Tornabene, the IP counsel, true?</p> <p>A. Yes. I added Jenn and Catherine.</p> <p>Q. All right. And what was the reason that you decided to add the IP counsel to the team?</p> <p>MR. BRUNS: Objection. This calls for privileged information. I'm going to instruct the witness not to answer.</p> <p>BY MR. HAYES:</p> <p>Q. Are you going to answer that question?</p> <p>A. Nope.</p> <p>Q. Okay. All right.</p> <p>Now, the reason that you've added the friendly lawyers is you were concerned that, in fact, Google or X, infringed; is that correct?</p> <p>MR. BRUNS: Same objections. Same</p>

	<p>instruction. This is the exact same content.</p> <p>MR. HAYES: You can answer the question.</p> <p>MR. BRUNS: No, I instruct you not to.</p>
Obi Felten 91:1-8	<p>Q. You wrote that. And Catherine is the IP lawyer, right?</p> <p>A. Uh-huh.</p> <p>Q. For Google? So you told --</p> <p>A. No. She's not. She's an IP lawyer for X.</p> <p>Q. For X. Whatever you want -- whatever you want to call it. We'll let a jury figure out who is X and who is Google, right?</p>
Obi Felten 27:11-18	<p>Q. Now, ma'am, at this talk, did he give a demonstration of his computer?</p> <p>MR. BRUNS: Objection. Vague.</p> <p>THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what you mean by "demonstration."</p> <p>BY MR. HAYES:</p> <p>Q. Are you serious? You went to Oxford and you don't know what the word "demonstration" means?</p>
James Laudon 43:23-44:7	<p>Q. Okay. So in that -- the prior e-mail at the bottom of page 2 where it says Jim from Obi Felten?</p> <p>A. Uh-huh.</p> <p>Q. She was asking you to do technical due diligence; is that correct?*</p> <p>MR. BRUNS: I want to object. The contents of that e-mail are redacted for privilege. I don't -- I'm going to admonish the witness not to answer that question.</p> <p><i>*referencing the redacted portion of the exhibit</i></p>
David Patterson 32:17-18	<p>Q. Will you just answer the question, sir? I'll get a court order ordering you to.</p>
David Patterson 48:7-16	<p>Q. And you understand that this problem that was supposed by Dean, was characterized by him, I believe, as scary and daunting problem. You understand that?</p> <p>MR. BHANSALI: Objection.</p> <p>THE WITNESS: No, I don't think I -- if I -- I may have said that. I don't -- I wouldn't characterize that today.</p> <p>BY MR. HAYES:</p>

	<p>Q. Today. Sure, because we've got a lawsuit today.</p>
David Patterson 58:7-60:10	<p>Q. What is that a picture of?</p> <p>A. It is -- what the caption says is that it's a mural on the walls of a data center.</p> <p>Q. Google's data center; right?</p> <p>A. Of a Google data center, in Dublin.</p> <p>Q. That's pretty enormous, is it not?</p> <p>A. I --</p> <p>MR. BHANSALI: Objection.</p> <p>THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's a photograph. I don't actually know. There's not -- I don't know what to compare it to to see how big the thing is. And whether that thing is enormous, it's in the mind of the beholder.</p> <p>Q. Oh, you can't tell, Doctor? You can't make a reasonable assumption by just looking at it? Look at the telephone poles, and they're probably 14 feet high. And --</p> <p>A. Where are the telephone poles?</p> <p>MR. BHANSALI: Well, let him finish his question, and then I can object. And then --</p> <p>THE WITNESS: Sorry.</p> <p>BY MR. HAYES:</p> <p>Q. Doctor, is it your testimony that you can't tell by looking at that picture, that's an enormous data center?</p> <p>MR. BHANSALI: Objection.</p> <p>Go ahead.</p> <p>THE WITNESS: Which ones are the telephone poles?</p> <p>BY MR. HAYES:</p> <p>Q. Just answer the question.</p> <p>A. I can't tell from looking at that picture whether it's as big as a football field or smaller than a football field.</p> <p>...</p> <p>Q. The data centers that are shown in that picture are indeed enormous; correct?</p> <p>MR. BHANSALI: Objection.</p> <p>THE WITNESS: So if you're interested technically --</p> <p>BY MR. HAYES:</p> <p>Q. I'm interested in getting an answer.</p>

David Patterson 73:23-74:11	<p>Q. This document, sir -- that's you -- this document's authored by a number of people, including yourself; correct?</p> <p>MR. BHANSALI: Objection. This has been asked and answered.</p> <p>Go ahead.</p> <p>THE WITNESS: We've already been over this. This is not a paper. This is slides of a talk. I did not give this talk. So --</p> <p>BY MR. HAYES:</p> <p>Q. The title of this talk --</p> <p>MR. BHANSALI: Wait, wait. He's finishing his answer. You asked him a question. He gets to finish his answer.</p>
David Patterson 92:24-93:3	<p>Q. Is the use of reduced precision an architecture utilized in v2 and v3?</p> <p>A. I'm --</p> <p>Q. You're under oath. You have to tell me the truth.</p>
Astro Teller 11:14-18	<p>A. My memory is Joe reached out to me.</p> <p>Q. Okay. Okay. Well that's -- all right.</p> <p>You don't have any problem, I assume, with your memory; right?</p>
Astro Teller 28:2-14	<p>Q. You didn't cursory read it you studied it; correct?</p> <p>MR. KAMBER: Objection. Asked and answered vague form.</p> <p>THE WITNESS: If -- when he sent it to me I would have read the words and maybe thought about it a little bit that doesn't seem like studied to me.</p> <p>MR. HAYES: I'll leave it to you to decide.</p> <p>BY MR. HAYES:</p> <p>Q. The jury is going to decide that one, sir.</p>
Astro Teller 58:20-59:2	<p>Q. You sent Ng Joe's presentation when he was at Google Brain isn't that a fact?</p> <p>MR. KAMBER: Objection to form foundation.</p> <p>BY MR. HAYES:</p>

	<p>Q. And in fact suggested that he work closely with Ng isn't that -- could you just tell the truth?</p>
<p>Astro Teller 66:19-24</p>	<p>Q. These are your words in the e-mail; correct?</p> <p>MR. KAMBER: Objection to form.</p> <p>THE WITNESS: Yes.</p> <p>BY MR. HAYES:</p> <p>Q. It's not tough to tell the truth.</p>
<p>Astro Teller 68:15-19</p>	<p>Q. You wrote this Exhibit 6; correct?</p> <p>A. I can see the e-mail.</p> <p>Q. I'm not asking if you can see it I know you're not blind just answer the question don't evade every single question?</p>
<p>Astro Teller 70:23-71:1</p>	<p>Q. Yeah. All right. So you do know what the term game changer means. It's not too difficult for you; right? These your words, game changer?</p>
<p>Astro Teller 86:24-87:12</p>	<p>Q. And when you you were talking to Bates you suggested did you not to Dr. Bates that at some point in time you suggested that Google could hire him; right?</p> <p>A. I guess that certainly was possible an an it was not possible they could hire him for 2 million a year.</p> <p>I'll take your word for it.</p> <p>Q. I want your words.</p> <p>A. I don't remember making him that specific offer. Was that in this e-mail?</p> <p>Q. We're going to get to it. We'll get to the e-mails when you don't give me the truth.</p>
<p>Astro Teller 93:19-94:9</p>	<p>Q. You're not saying it didn't happen you're just saying you don't remember; correct?</p> <p>MR. KAMBER: Objection to form.</p> <p>Misstates prior testimony.</p> <p>BY MR. HAYES:</p> <p>Q. You can answer the question.</p> <p>A. To the extent your question is do I not remember that conversation the answer is Yes, I do not remember the conversation.</p>

	<p>Q. That was not the question I asked though. Very nice. I understand every time your -- your counsel objects that you now have been told to just think about it and just try to evade the question. I understand that. That's part of his prerogative to coach you like that.</p>
<p>Astro Teller 94:24-95:10</p>	<p>Q. Do you have any specific memory disputing the fact that Dr. Bates told you in conversation that his intention was patent protected?</p> <p>A. I have no memory --</p> <p>MR. KAMBER: Objection to form.</p> <p>BY MR. HAYES:</p> <p>Q. Please answer the question.</p> <p>A. I have no memory that that didn't happen.</p> <p>Q. Okay. That's good enough. We'll just see who believes who.</p>
<p>Catherine Tornabene 33:12-25</p>	<p>Q So you, as part of this legal team, are giving Obi information about how to deal with Bates; right?</p> <p>MR. KWUN: Objection; calls for attorney-client privileged information and instruct the witness not to answer it. If you want to reformulate that question, it's possible there's something she can answer.</p> <p>(Instruction not to answer.)</p> <p>MR. HAYES: I think she can answer that question.</p> <p>Q That's what you were doing there?</p> <p>MR. KWUN: Well, I instruct the witness not to answer.</p>
<p>Catherine Tornabene 41:15-42:6</p>	<p>My question to you is, were you involved in discussions or draft of the NDA that went -- the new NDA that went to Bates around 2017?</p> <p>MR. KWUN: I'm going to object that the question calls for attorney-client privileged information and instruct the witness not to answer.</p> <p>(Instruction not to answer.)</p> <p>MR. HAYES: What's -- what's privileged about whether or not she participated in drafting it? That's got nothing to do with the price of bread.</p>

	<p>Q Can you answer the question, please?</p> <p>MR. KWUN: It's -- I'm going to instruct the witness not to answer. If you'd like me to explain why it's privileged, I'm happy to, but you seem to have moved on. I instruct the witness not to answer.</p> <p>MR. HAYES: Instruct away, Counsel. The jury will love it.</p>
Catherine Tornabene 46:25-47:7	<p>Q Well, let me show you -- I think, ma'am, while we're on this point, if you look at -- I think it's Exhibit 2, which is the interrogatories --</p> <p>MR. KWUN: You cannot show her the interrogatories because that contains confidential information.</p> <p>MR. HAYES: I gotcha, Buddy. Don't worry. You can object all you want to.</p>
Catherine Tornabene 53:17-54:7	<p>Who suggested putting that in the NDA that was sent to Bates on or about this time, was it you?</p> <p>MR. KWUN: Objection; assumes facts and also calls for attorney-client privileged information, and I instruct the witness not to answer.</p> <p>(Instruction not to answer.)</p> <p>BY MR. HAYES:</p> <p>Q You're not going to answer, ma'am?</p> <p>A I can't answer that without revealing attorney-client privileged information.</p> <p>Q Well, tell me, why is that paragraph generally in there for Google, generally?</p> <p>MR. KWUN: You can answer that --</p> <p>BY MR. HAYES:</p> <p>Q Why did you put that in there?</p>
Nishant Patil 63:6-13	<p>Q. Right but we're talking about the first page of the document you identify the TPU V2 and V3 are Google's training chips; true?</p> <p>MS. YBARRA: Objection. Asked and answered. Argumentative and counsel, please watch your tone with the witness. You're yelling.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
Nishant Patil 72:25-73:3	<p>Q. These are your words, Dr. Patil.</p> <p>A. It is what?</p> <p>MS. YBARRA: Watch your tone with the</p>

	witness. Thank you.
Nishant Patil 238:17-21	Q. Correct. Your boss wrote this in your appeal to get promoted; that's correct? A. I believe so. Q. You believe so or that's actually the truth?
Joe Bates 146:5-9	Q. And when you say "this approach," you mean doing exact arithmetic on low precision values. MR. HAYES: Objection. That's -- Counsel, this is the 50th time you've asked the stupid question. It's been asked and answered.